



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
09/504,813	2/16/00	GOTO	

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

EXAMINER	
CREPEAU	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1746	

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan Crepeau (3) David Rosenblatt
(2) _____ (4) _____

Date of interview Nov. 13, 2003

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description: proposed amendments

Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached.

Claims discussed: _____

Notification of prior art discussed: Narany, Schneider

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney and Examiner discussed outstanding rejections. Attorney emphasized that none of the references taught a seamless continuous electrolyte layer. Further dependent claims will be added.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Jonathan Crepeau
Examiner's Signature

1746